RB26.00 FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY

DBM Submission September 22, 2006

PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

Frostburg State University (FSU) offers a comprehensive array of undergraduate and graduate degrees emphasizing arts and humanities, business, applied technologies, education, environmental sciences, human services, and social and behavioral sciences.

MISSION

Frostburg State University has provided paths to success for students for over 100 years. Founded in 1898 to prepare teachers, the institution today is a public, comprehensive, largely residential regional university offering a wide array of affordable programs at the undergraduate and graduate levels. The only four-year institution of the University System of Maryland west of the Baltimore-Washington corridor, the University serves as the premier educational and cultural center for western Maryland. At the same time, it draws its student population from all counties in Maryland, as well as from numerous other states and foreign countries, thereby creating a campus experience that prepares students to live and work in a culturally diverse world.

The University is distinguished by a scenic campus encircled by mountains, its excellent academic programs, its nationally acclaimed community service programs, and its vital role in regional economic development initiatives. As a result, it holds the distinction of being one of the University System institutions most closely woven into the fabric of the surrounding area.

Frostburg State University is, first and foremost, a teaching institution in which students are guided and nurtured by dedicated, highly qualified faculty and staff. Faculty engage in wide-ranging research and scholarly activity with the ultimate goal of enhancing student learning. The academic experience of undergraduates includes a rigorous general education program in the liberal arts and sciences, including development of core skills. Major areas of specialization are offered in education, business, science and technology, the creative and performing arts, and selected programs in the humanities and social sciences. The University provides numerous opportunities for students to engage in community service, leadership development activities, undergraduate research, and internships. These activities serve as experiential laboratories in which students apply what they have learned in the classroom to real-world situations. Graduate programs provide specialized instruction for students involved in or preparing for professional careers.

Frostburg State University continues to define its core mission as providing pathways to success – in careers, in further education, and in life – for all of its graduates.

VISION

At Frostburg State University, students will always come first. The University will consist of an increasingly multi-cultural community, rich in perspectives, highly knowledgeable within their academic disciplines, technologically proficient, strongly motivated for learning, and globally aware.

RB26.00 FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY

KEY GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

DBM Submission September 22, 2006

Goal 1: Serve as a catalyst for economic development in western Maryland and in the region.

Objective 1.1: Work with state and local government agencies to attract initiatives to FSU's campus from 0 in 2004 to 6 in 2009.

Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output: Number of initiatives located at FSU ¹	0	0	3	5	5	5

Objective 1.2: Prepare graduates to obtain higher initial median salaries from \$30.8K in 2004 to \$36.8K in 2008.

Performance Measure	1998 Survey	2000 Survey	2002 Survey	2005 Survey	2008 Survey
r en tot mance measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated
Outcome: Median salary of graduates (\$000's) ^{2, 3}	\$25.5	\$27.5	\$30.8	\$32.5	\$36.8

Objective 1.3: Sustain effective and efficient use of resources through 2009 by allocating at least 2% of replacement costs to facilities renewal and achieve at least 2% of operating budget for reallocation to priorities.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Outcome: Percent of replacement cost expended in						
facility renewal	1.1%	1.3%	$1.1\%^{8}$.6%	1.3%	1.7%
Outcome: Rate of operating budget reallocation	2%	6%	2%	4%	2%	2%

Goal 2: Meet critical workforce needs in the region and the state.

Objective 2.1: Increase the estimated percent of IT program graduates employed in Maryland from 74% in survey year 2002 to 78% in survey year 2008.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Input: Number of undergraduates enrolled in IT programs	431	415	372	331	351 ⁹	358
Output: Number of graduates in IT programs (annually)	64	56	51	42	50	58
Performance Measure	1998 Survey Actual	2000 Survey Actual	2002 Survey Actual	2005 Survey Actual	2008 Survey Estimated	
Outcome: Percent of IT graduates employed in Maryland ³	67%	75%	74%	75%	78%	

RB26.00 FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY

DBM Submission September 22, 2006

Objective 2.2: Increase the number of teacher education graduates employed in Maryland public schools from 68 in 2004 to 120 in 2009.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Input: Number of undergraduates and MAT post-						
bach. in teacher education	742	744	735	670	573 ⁹	580
Output: Number of undergraduates and MAT post-						
bach. completing teacher training	130	135	176	174	185	189
Outcome: Number of grads teaching in Maryland						
schools ⁴	91	68	82	102	100	118
Quality: Pass rates for undergraduates and MAT post-						
bach. on PRAXIS II^5	98%	97%	98%	99%	99%	99%

Goal 3: Provide access to higher education for residents of Maryland and the region.

Objective 3.1: Increase the percentage of graduates employed one year out from 97% in survey year 2002 to 98% in survey year 2008.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Input: Headcount enrollment (Fall Total in FY)	5,457	5,469	5,327	5,041	4,910 ⁹	4,926
Output: Number of graduates with a Bachelor's						
degree	755	797	834	849	779	786
Performance Measure	1998 Survey Actual	2000 Survey Actual	2002 Survey Actual	2005 Survey Actual	2008 Survey Estimated	
Outcome: Number of graduates working in						
Maryland ³	510	584	552	600	610	
Outcome: Percent of graduates employed one year out ³	95%	98%	97%	91%	98%	

Objective 3.2: By 2009, maintain the number of students enrolled in courses delivered off campus at a level equal to or greater than the 2004 level of 2,902.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Input: Number of annual off campus course enrollments ⁶	2.938	2.902	2.716	2.617	2.800	2.900
CHIOHIHCHIS	2,938	2,902	2,710	2,017	2,000	2,900

Objective 3.3: Increase the second-year retention rate of FSU undergraduates from 75.5% in 2004 to 80.0% in 2009.

Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output: Retention Rate all students	78.8%	75.5%	79.3%	75.3%	76.2%	78.4%

UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND

DBM Submission September 22, 2006

RB26.00 FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY

Objective 3.4: Attain a six-year graduation rate of FSU undergraduates from 58.6% in 2004 to 61.7% in 2009.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Output: Graduation Rate all students	56.5%	58.6%	57.4%	56.0%	58.1%	60.3%
Objective 3.5: Maintain the approximate percent of eco	•	e				
Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Input: Percent of economically disadvantaged						

Goal 4: Continue efforts to create an environment that prepares students to live and work in a diverse society.

Objective 4.1: Attain greater faculty diversity: women from 37.6% in 2004 to 38.9% in 2009; African-Americans from 3.8% in 2004 to 4.5% in 200

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Output: Faculty Diversity FT:						
Women	37.9%	37.6%	37.3%	37.8%	38.2%	38.5%
African American	4.0%	3.8%	3.1%	3.9%	4.1%	4.3%

Objective 4.2: By 2009, maintain the percentage of African-American undergraduates at a level equal to or greater than the 2004 level of 12.3%.

Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated
Input: Percent African American (Fall Undergraduate in FY)	12.6%	12.3%	12.7%	14.8%	16.6% ⁹	16.6%

Objective 4.3: By 2009, sustain the percentage of minority undergraduates at a level equal to or greater than the 2004 level of 16.2%.

Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated
Input: Percent Minority (Fall Undergraduate in FY)	16.4%	16.2%	16.6%	18.9%	$20.6\%^{9}$	20.6%

Objective 4.4: Achieve and sustain the second-year retention rate of African-American students at 83.0% through 2009.

Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output: Retention Rate African American	80.2%	74.2%	82.8%	77.4%	80.0%	82.0%

UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND

RB26.00 FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY

school³

Objective 4.5: Increase the second-year retention rate of minority students from 72.9% in 2004 to 83.0% in 2009.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Output: Retention Rate Minority	82.1%	72.9%	80.5%	76.8%	78.0%	79.8%
Objective 4.6: Attain and preserve a six-year graduatio	n rate of African	-American stud	dents at 45.3%	through 2009.		
Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
I erformance wieasure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output: Graduation Rate African American	40.8%	45.3%	46.1%	54.8%	55.1%	53.8%
Objective 4.7: Realize and maintain a six-year graduati	on rate of minor	ity students at 4	47.1% through	2009.		
Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
r en tot manue micasure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Output: Graduation Rate Minority	44.9%	47.1%	48.8%	50.0%	51.9%	48.8%

Goal 5: Increase recognition for the university's academic programs through national accreditations of teacher education, business and other selected programs.

Objective 5.1: Increase number of programs awarded professional accreditation (e.g., NCATE and AACSB) from 5 in 2004 to 7 in 2009.

88%

Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Quality: Achievement of professional accreditation						
	4	-	6	7	7	7
by program ⁷	4	5	6	/	/	/
5. 5. 0 1 200		1	1	1.6	L . 2004 1 1 . f	000/
bjective 5.2: By the 2008 survey year, maintain the sat	U				ne 2004 level of	89% or greater
D	1998 Survey	2000 Survey	2002 Survey	2005 Survey	2008 Survey	
Performance Measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	
Outcome: Satisfaction with education for work ³	90%	97%	89%	91%	94%	
Niesting 5.2. De the 2008 summer and interim the second			daaadiaa faa aa		at the 2004 land	1 of 070/ on one
bjective 5.3: By the 2008 survey year, maintain the per	centage of satis	staction with e	education for gr	ad/prof school	at the 2004 leve	1 of 97% or gre
	1998 Survey	2000 Survey	2002 Survey	2005 Survey	2008 Survey	
Performance Measure	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	
Outcome: Satisfaction with education for grad/prof.						
3			a -			

98%

97%

99%

98%

DBM Submission September 22, 2006

UNIVERSITY SYSTEM OF MARYLAND

RB26.00 FROSTBURG STATE UNIVERSITY

Objective 5.4: Sustain the Regents' goal of 7 to 8 course units taught by FTE Core Faculty through 2009.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated	
Quality: Course Units Taught by FTE Core Faculty	7.4	7.9	7.8	7.8	7.5	7.5	

Goal 6: Promote outreach programs that benefit the campus and broader community.

Objective 6.1: By 2012, meet or exceed the system campaign goal of at least \$10 million cumulative for the length of the campaign (beginning in FY 2005).								
Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated		
Output: Funds raised in annual giving (\$M)	\$1.50	\$1.20	\$1.29	\$1.20	\$1.50	\$1.50		

Objective 6.2 By 2009, increase days spent in public service per FTE Faculty to 10 from 9.7 in 2004.

Performance Measure	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Outcome: Days of public service per FTE faculty	10.9	9.7	8.3	9.6	9.8	9.9

Objective 6.3: Increase the number of students involved in community outreach to 2,800 in 2009 from 2,120 in 2004.

Performance Measure	2003 Actual	2004 Actual	2005 Actual	2006 Actual	2007 Estimated	2008 Estimated
Outcome: Number of students involved in community						
outreach	2,400	2,120	2,680	3,135	2,700	2,725

Note: TBA = Data will be available upon the release of the 2006 report from MSDE.

- Cumulative number of initiatives attracted to FSU.
- 2 The weighted average of the mid point of the salary ranges.
- ³ Column headings used for this measure reflect the survey years in which the data were gathered. Data contained in the 1998, 2000, 2002 and 2005 columns are taken from the MHEC-sponsored Alumni Follow Up Survey, which is now administered triennially to alumni who graduated the prior year (for instance, the 1998 survey was of 1997 graduates, the 2000 survey was of 1999 graduates, etc.).
- ⁴ Number of teachers who were new hires in the fiscal year.
- ⁵ PRAXIS II program completer cohorts are based on the degree year (DY) of August, December, January, and May. FY 2006 pass rate data = DY 2005, FY 2005 pass rate data = DY 2004, FY 2004 pass rate = DY 2003, and FY 2003 pass rate = DY 2002.
- ⁶ Off campus duplicative course enrollments for FY (summer, fall, and spring).
- ⁷ Cumulative number of program accreditations at the University.
- ⁸ Reflects post September 2005 submission adjustment and is based upon updated information supplied by the USM office.
- ⁹ Actual Fall 2006 Data.

DBM Submission September 22, 2006